

#### ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of sugar beets on steer backgrounding performance. Forty-eight Angus steers (260.7 ± 3.43 kg) were used in a completely randomized design for a 50 d study. On d -1, steers were weighed and assigned to 1 of 8 pens (6 steers per pen) equipped with GrowSafe units and one of four dietary treatments on d 0 (n = 12 steers/treatment; 2 pens/treatment: Table 1): 1) 0SB: control diet with no "sugar beets; 2) 15SB: 15% sugar beets substituted for barley on a DM basis; 3) 30SB: 30% sugar beets substituted for barley on a DM basis; and 4) 45SB: 45% sugar beets substituted for barley on a DM basis. Sugar beets directly replaced rolled barley on a DM basis. All dietary treatments were formulated to meet or exceed the nutrient requirements of a 295 kg steer gaining 0.91 kg/d (NRC, 1996). The MIXED procedure of SAS was used for statistical analysis. Initial BW, mid-BW, final BW, period 1 and 2 ADG, and period 1 and 2 G:F were not different ( $P \ge 0.33$ ) due to dietary treatment. There was also significant treatment x day interaction (P < 0.001) for DMI. On d 3, 19, 21, 23, 33, 44, and 45, 0SB DMI was reduced ( $P \le 0.05$ ), and increased ( $P \le 0.05$ ) on d 12, 20, and 47 compared with 15SB. On d 3, 19, 21, 33, 35, and 50, 0SB DMI was reduced ( $P \le 0.03$ ), and increased ( $P \le 0.01$ ) on d 9, 12, and 20 when compared with 30SB. On d 19, 21, 27, 33, 37, 38, and 45, 0SB DMI was reduced ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), and increased ( $P \leq 0.04$ ) on d 9, 24, and 35 when compared to 45SB. On d 35 and 37, 15SB DMI was reduced ( $P \le 0.002$ ), and increased ( $P \le 0.05$ ) on d 9 and 36 when compared with 30SB. On d 37 and 47, 15SB DMI was reduced ( $P \le 0.02$ ), and increased (P $\leq$  0.03) on d 1, 9, 44, and 46, when compared to 45SB. On d 45, 30SB DMI was reduced ( $P \le 0.03$ ), and increased ( $P \le 0.04$ ) on d 24 when compared to 45SB. These data suggest that backgrounding steers can be fed diets up to 45% sugar beets on a DM basis without negatively impacting performance.



► Feeding increasing levels of sugar beets (0, 15, 30, and 45% of DM) would have no deleterious effects on steer feedlot growth, but would have improved palatability indicated by increased DMI as sugar beets increased in the diet.



# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Animals & Diets

- $\blacktriangleright$  48 Angus steers (260.7 ± 3.43 kg) weighed and assigned to 1 of 8 pens on equipped with growsafe units on d 1.
- ▶ Pens were assigned to 1 of the 4 treatments on d 0 (12 steers/treatment; 2 pens/treatment). ▶ 1) **0SB:** control diet with no sugar beets. 2) **15SB:** 15% sugar beets 3) **30SB:** 30% sugar beets. 4) **45SB:** 45% sugar beets. (Table 1).
- ► Sugar beets directly replaced rolled barley on a DM basis, and samples of the total mixed rations were collected weekly and dried in a forced air oven at 70 degrees Celsius for 48 h to determine DM.

#### Timeline

- ► Ration samples were collected weekly composited by period (period 1: d 0 to 27; period 2: d 28 to 56)
- ► Steers weighed on consecutive days on d 0 and 1, mid-point (d 26 and 27), and at the end (d 49 and 50) of the trial
- ► Blood samples collected via jugular venipuncture on d 0, 27, and 49 of the trial.

#### **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

- MIXED procedure of SAS
- Model: dietary treatment
- Experimental unit: individual animal
- DM intake data was analyzed utilizing repeated measures with the fixed effects of dietary treatment, day, and the interaction.
- Pre-planned comparisons of linear, quadratic, and cubic contrasts were utilized to partition treatment effects.
- Significance determined at  $P \le 0.05$ .
- To partition day effects and treatment x day interactions, LS Means was utilized ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

THE BAIR RANCH FOUNDATION

Support for this research was provided by The Bair Ranch Foundation. The authors would also like to thank Brady Johnson, Maria Goettemoeller, Abbey Keyser, and Kate <sup>4</sup>Calculated nutrient composition of the diets. Perz for their assistance in conducting this trial.

# Effects of increasing sugar beets on steer backgrounding performance I. McGregor, C.M. Page, W.C. Stewart, and M.L. Van Emon **Department of Animal and Range Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, 2016 Montana Nutrition Conference and Livestock Forum**

# INTRODUCTION

► Approximately 700 acres (or ~45.2 million lbs.) of sugar beet fields not harvested during the 2014-15 Montana sugar beet harvest. (USDA, 2015b) Whole sugar beets are an excellent energy source (81% TDN; Lardy and Schafer, 2008)

Potential replacement for barley or corn. ► Whole sugar beet require processing before feeding as they are a potential choking hazard.

# **HYPOTHESIS**

### OBJECTIVE

► To evaluate the effects of sugar beets on steer backgrounding performance.

- Mid-point and final BW were not affected ( $P \ge 0.63$ )
- ► ADG was not affected ( $P \ge 0.55$ ) by treatment
- ► G:F values were not effected ( $P \ge 0.33$ ) by treatment. Average daily DMI for the second period (P = 0.10) and overall (P = 0.06) tended to be effected quadratically by
- dietary treatment. ► There was also significant treatment x day interaction (P < 0.001; **Figure 1**) for DMI. ▶ 0SB DMI was reduced ( $P \le 0.05$ ) on d 3, 19, 21,
  - 23, 33, 44, and 45, and increased ( $P \le 0.05$ ) on d 12, 20, and 47 relative to 15SB.
  - On d 3, 19, 21, 33, 35, and 50, 0SB DMI was reduced ( $P \le 0.03$ ), and increased ( $P \le 0.01$ ) on d 9, 12, and 20 when compared with 30SB.

#### **Table 2.** Effects of increasing sugar beets on backgrounding performance of steer calves.

		Dietary T	reatment <sup>1</sup>					Contrasts <sup>2</sup>	
Item	0SB	15SB	30SB	45SB	SEM	P – value	Linear	Quadratic	Cubic
BW, Ib									
d 1	571.56	575.52	572.66	574.42	15.576	1.00	0.94	0.95	0.87
d 28	659.34	666.38	666.16	670.78	18.744	0.98	0.68	0.95	0.88
d 50	712.8	736.56	746.46	751.74	23.188	0.63	0.27	0.67	0.92
ADG, lb/d									
d 1 to 27	3.124	3.256	3.344	3.454	0.308	0.89	0.44	0.99	0.98
d 28 to 50	3.124	3.058	3.498	3.52	0.374	0.72	0.36	0.92	0.59
d 1 to 50	3.036	3.168	3.41	3.476	0.264	0.55	0.16	0.90	0.78
DMI, lb/d									
d 1 to 27	13.728	14.63	13.068	12.54	0.924	0.25	0.11	0.36	0.34
d 28 to 50	18.326	20.064	21.23	19.624	1.144	0.18	0.21	0.10	0.62
d 1 to 50	15.708	16.94	16.72	15.95	0.616	0.16	0.80	0.06	0.69
G:F									
d 1 to 27	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.27	0.02	0.41	0.20	0.27	0.79
d 28 to 50	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.02	0.72	0.43	0.59	0.64
d 1 to 50	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.22	0.02	0.33	0.12	0.41	0.71
<sup>1</sup> Diets will be fo	ormulated to r	neet or exce	ed nutrient r	equirements	of a 295 kg	steer gaining 0	91 ka/d (N	IRC 1996) T	reatments

to exceed numeric requirements of a 235 kg steer gaining 0.31 kg/d (NNO, 1330). Treatments were 0SB: 45% barley and 45% chopped hay; 15SB: 15% sugar beets substituted for barley on a % DM basis; 30SB: 30% sugar beets substituted for barley; and 45SB: 45% sugar beets substituted for barley <sup>2</sup>n = 12

 $^{3}P$  -value for the *F*-test of the mean. <sup>4</sup>*P*-value for linear, quadratic, and cubic effects of increasing sugar beets in the diet.

#### **Table 1.** Ingredient and nutritional composition of diets fed to backgrounding steers (DM) basis)

	Dietary Treatment <sup>1</sup>							
Item	0SB	15SB	30SB	45SB				
Ingredient, %								
Sugar beets <sup>2</sup>		15.0	30.0	45.0				
Rolled barley	45.0	30.0	15.0					
Chopped hay	45.0	41.0	36.9	32.75				
Soybean meal	6.25	10.40	14.75	19.0				
Mineral premix <sup>3</sup>	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90				
Calcium carbonate	1.25	1.10	0.85	0.75				
Salt	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25				
Deccox	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.35				
Nutritional Composition <sup>4</sup>								
DM, %	87.4	74.4	64.7	57.3				
TDN, %	66.6	65.5	64.5	63.4				
CP, %	16.0	15.6	15.4	15.1				
Ca:P	2.63	2.65	2.57	2.64				

<sup>1</sup>Diets will be formulated to meet or exceed nutrient requirements of a 295 kg steer gaining 0.91 kg/d (NRC, 1996). Treatments were 0SB: 45% barley and 45% chopped hay; 15SB: 15% sugar beets substituted for barley on a % DM basis; 30SB: 30% sugar beets substituted for barley; and 45SB: 45% sugar beets substituted for barley.

<sup>2</sup>Sugar beets were processed through a wood chipper to reduce the particle size to reduce the risk of choking.

<sup>3</sup>Mineral premix: 13.6% Ca, 10% P, 15.6% salt, 1.0% Mg, 0.1% K, 2,500 mg/kg Cu, 35 mg/kg Se, 8,500 mg/kg Zn, 440,529 IU/kg vitamin A, 44,053 IU/kg vitamin D, and 881 IU/kg vitamin E.

# RESULTS

- ► On d 19, 21, 27, 33, 37, 38, and 45, 0SB DMI was reduced ( $P \le 0.05$ ), and increased  $(P \le 0.04)$  on d 9, 24, and 35 when compared to 45SB.
- ► On d 35 and 37, 15SB DMI was reduced (P  $\leq$  0.002), and increased ( $P \leq$  0.05) on d 9 and 36 when compared with 30SB
- ► On d 37 and 47, 15SB DMI was reduced (P  $\leq$  0.02), and increased (*P*  $\leq$  0.03) on d 1, 9, 44, and 46, when compared to 45SB
- ► On d 45, 30SB DMI was reduced ( $P \le 0.03$ ), and increased ( $P \le 0.04$ ) on d 24 when compared to 45SB.



negatively effecting performance.

\*\*All procedures were approved by the animal care and use committee of Montana State University (#2015-AA09).



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 Figure 1. Effects of increasing sugar beets on steer dry matter intake. Diets were formulated to meet or exceed nutrient requirements of a 295 kg steer gaining 0.91 kg/d (NRC, 1996). Treatments were 0SB: 45% barley and 45% chopped hay; 15SB: 15% sugar beets substituted for barley on a % DM basis; 30SB: 30% ugar beets substituted for barley; and 45SB: 45% sugar beets substituted for barley. Dietary treatment: P = 0.16; day: P = 0.14; and dietary treatment × day: P <

MONTANA

STATE UNIVERSITY



# CONCLUSIONS

Results from the current study suggests that whole sugar beets can replace barley up to 45% without

► Further research is needed to find how increasing concentrations of sugar beets diets in backgrounding rations for steers effects meat quality.